# Preserving levels of projective determinacy and regularity properties

Johannes Philipp Schürz

Technische Universität Wien

Vienna, June 24, 2021

supported by FWF project I3081

- We study the preservation of levels of projective determinacy and regularity properties under iterations of 'simply' definable forcing notions.
- This is done by using a technique called capturing.
- All the well-known tree forcings are 'simply' definable, hence our results are applicable to the study of cardinal characteristics.
- The results are from a joint project with Jonathan Schilhan and Philipp Schlicht.
- This project is a sequel to the paper 'Preserving levels of projective determinacy by tree forcings' by F. Castiblanco and P. Schlicht.

# Preserving 'Every real has a sharp'

### Definition

 $0^{\sharp}$  exists iff each (at least one) of the following objects exist:

- An uncountable set of ordinals which are order-indiscernible over L.
- **2** A non-trivial, elementary embedding  $j : L \rightarrow L$ .
- S A well-founded, remarkable Ehrenfeucht-Mostowski type.
- A countable structure  $(L_{lpha}, \in, U)$  such that
  - $(L_{lpha},\in)$  is a model of ZFC<sup>-</sup> with a largest cardinal  $\kappa$ ,
  - $(L_{\alpha}, \in, U)$  is a model of  $\Sigma_0$ -separation,
  - U is a  $<\!\kappa$ -complete ultrafilter on  $\mathcal{P}(\kappa)^{L_{lpha}}$  and
  - all iterated ultrapowers of  $(L_{lpha},\in,U)$  are wellfounded.

More generally,  $x^{\sharp}$  is defined for any real  $x \in \omega^{\omega}$  by replacing L with L[x].

The existence of  $x^{\sharp}$  follows from the existence of a measurable cardinal.

We say that 'Every real has a sharp' iff  $\forall x \in \omega^{\omega} : x^{\sharp}$  exists.

# Preserving Large Cardinals

The following large cardinal preservation theorem is well known:

Theorem (Laver)

Let  $\kappa$  be supercompact and let V be suitably prepared. Then the supercompactness of  $\kappa$  is indestructible by any  $<\kappa$ -directed closed forcing notion.

Maybe less known:

Theorem (Johnstone)

Let  $\kappa$  be strongly unfoldable and let V be suitably prepared. Then the strong unfoldability of  $\kappa$  is indestructible by any  $<\kappa$ -closed,  $\kappa^+$ -c.c. ( $\kappa$ -proper) forcing notion.

Question: What are other examples of large cardinals where such an 'exact' preservation can be shown for a larger class of forcing notions?

# Determinacy

Let A be a subset of  $2^{\omega}$ . In the game G(A), two players play  $n_i \in \{0, 1\}$  in turn. Player I wins iff  $\vec{n} = \langle n_i \mid i \in \omega \rangle \in A$ .

# Table: G(A)

	Round 0		Round 1	
Player I	<i>n</i> 0		<i>n</i> <sub>2</sub>	
Player II		$n_1$		n <sub>3</sub>

We call A determined iff one of the players has a winning strategy in the game G(A). We say that  $\Pi_1^1$ -determinacy holds iff every (co-)analytic set is determined.

# Theorem (Harrington (1978), Martin (1970))

The following statements are equivalent:

- $\Pi_1^1$ -determinacy holds.
- Every real has a sharp.

But how can the statement 'Every real has a sharp' be preserved?

The answer lies in the technique of capturing:

Definition Let  $\mathbb{P}$  be a forcing notion. We say that  $\mathbb{P}$  is captured iff  $\forall p \in \mathbb{P} \ \forall \ \mathbb{P}$ -names  $\dot{\tau}$  for a real  $\forall y \in \omega^{\omega} \exists z \in \omega^{\omega} \ \exists \mathbb{Q} \in L[y, z] \ \exists q \leq_{\mathbb{P}} p:$  $q \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} \exists H: H \text{ is } (L[y, z], \mathbb{Q})\text{-generic } \land \dot{\tau} \in L[y, z][H]$ 

#### Theorem

Assume that  $V \vDash$  'Every real has a sharp' and let  $\mathbb{P}$  be a forcing notion. If  $\mathbb{P}$  is captured, then  $V^{\mathbb{P}} \vDash$  'Every real has a sharp'.

#### Proof.

Working in V let  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  and  $\dot{\tau}$  a  $\mathbb{P}$ -name for a real be arbitrary. Since  $\mathbb{P}$  is captured, there now exist  $q \leq_{\mathbb{P}} p, z \in \omega^{\omega}$  and  $\mathbb{Q} \in L[z]$  such that  $q \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} \exists H : H$  is  $(L[z], \mathbb{Q})$ -generic  $\land \dot{\tau} \in L[z][H]$ . Since  $z^{\sharp}$  exists, there is a non-trivial, elementary embedding  $j : L[z] \to L[z]$  with  $\operatorname{crit}(j) > |\mathbb{Q}|$ . Hence, j can be lifted to  $j^* : L[z]^{\mathbb{Q}} \to L[z]^{\mathbb{Q}}$ , and we can conclude that  $q \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} \exists H \exists j^* : \dot{\tau} \in L[z][H] \land j^* : L[z][H] \to L[z][H]$  is a non-trivial, elementary embedding. In particular,  $q \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} \exists \tilde{j} \ \tilde{j} : L[\dot{\tau}] \to L[\dot{\tau}]$  is a non-trivial, elementary embedding. The following is a strengthening of capturing:

#### Definition

Let  $\mathbb P$  and  $\mathbb Q$  be forcing notions and such that  $\mathbb Q$  is definable. We say that  $\mathbb Q$  captures  $\mathbb P$  iff

 $\forall p \in \mathbb{P} \ \forall \ \mathbb{P}\text{-names} \ \dot{\tau} \text{ for a real } \forall y \in \omega^{\omega} \ \exists z \in \omega^{\omega} \ \exists q \leq_{\mathbb{P}} p$ :

 $q \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} \exists H \colon H \text{ is } (L[y, z], \mathbb{Q}^{L[y, z]})\text{-generic} \land \dot{\tau} \in L[y, z][H]$ 

The following is a strengthening of  $\mathbb{Q}$  captures  $\mathbb{P}$ :

#### Definition

Let  $\mathbb P$  and  $\mathbb Q$  be forcing notions and such that  $\mathbb Q$  is definable. We say that  $\mathbb Q$  uniformly captures  $\mathbb P$  iff

 $\forall p \in \mathbb{P} \ \forall \ \mathbb{P}\text{-names} \ \dot{\tau} \ \text{for a real} \ \exists z \in \omega \ \exists \ \mathbb{P}\text{-name} \ \dot{H} \ \forall y \in \omega^{\omega} \ \exists q \leq_{\mathbb{P}} p:$ 

 $q \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} \dot{H}$  is  $(L[y, z], \mathbb{Q}^{L[y, z]})$ -generic  $\land \dot{\tau} \in L[z][\dot{H}]$ 

# Examples

### Lemma (Castiblanco - Schlicht)

If  $\omega_1$  is inaccessible to the reals, then:

- Cohen forcing uniformly captures Sacks and Silver forcing.
- Mathias forcing uniformly captures Laver, Mathias and Miller forcing.

#### Lemma

If BP( $\mathbf{\Delta}_2^1$ ) holds, then Cohen forcing uniformly captures Sacks and Silver forcing.

#### Lemma

If  $BP(\mathbf{\Sigma}_2^1)$  holds, then Cohen forcing uniformly captures Miller forcing.

# Lemma (Schilhan)

Let  $\mathbb{P}$  be a countable support iteration of Sacks or Silver forcing. If  $BP(\mathbf{\Delta}_2^1)$  holds, then  $\mathbb{P}$  is captured.

We will need the following definitions:

#### Definition

Let  $\mathbb{P} = (\text{dom}(\mathbb{P}), \leq_{\mathbb{P}})$  be a forcing notion such that  $\text{dom}(\mathbb{P}) \subseteq \omega^{\omega}$ . We say that  $\mathbb{P}$  is Suslin iff  $\text{dom}(\mathbb{P})$  and  $\leq_{\mathbb{P}}$  have  $\Sigma_1^1$  definitions. We say that  $\mathbb{P}$  is strongly Suslin iff additionally the incompatibility relation  $\perp_{\mathbb{P}}$  also has a  $\Sigma_1^1$  definition.

#### and

#### Definition

Let  $\mathbb{P}$  be a Suslin forcing. We say that  $\mathbb{P}$  is proper-for-candidates iff for every countable, transitive model N containing the real parameters for the Suslin definitions of dom( $\mathbb{P}$ ) and  $\leq_{\mathbb{P}}$  and satisfying ZFC\*, and every  $p \in \mathbb{P}^N$  there exists  $q \in \mathbb{P}$  such that  $q \leq_{\mathbb{P}} p$  and q is  $(N, \mathbb{P})$ -generic.

# Capturing of Iterations

We can now state our main theorem:

### Theorem (Sch.-Sch.-Sch.)

Let  $\mathbb{P} = \langle \mathbb{P}_{\alpha}, \dot{P}_{\beta} : \alpha \leq \kappa, \beta < \kappa \rangle$  be a countable support iteration of Suslin forcing notions  $\dot{P}_{\beta}$  such that for every  $\alpha < \kappa$  we have:

 $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}_{\alpha}} \forall A \in [\omega^{\omega}]^{\omega} \colon \dot{P}_{\alpha} \in L[A] \Rightarrow L[A] \vDash \dot{P}_{\alpha} \text{ is proper-for-candidates.}$ 

If  $\omega_1$  is inaccessible to the reals, then  $\mathbb{P}$  is captured.

#### Sketch of Proof.

For simplicity let us assume that  $\mathbb{P}$  is an iteration of Sacks forcing. Let  $p \in \mathbb{P}, \dot{\tau} \in \mathbb{P}, \dot{\tau} \in \mathbb{P}$ ,  $\dot{\tau} = \mathbb{P}$ -name for a real and  $y \in \omega^{\omega}$  be arbitrary. Let  $(\dot{s}_{\beta})_{\beta < \kappa}$  be a  $\mathbb{P}$ -name for the sequence of generic Sacks reals. Using continuous reading of names we can assume that there exists  $\tilde{u} \subseteq \kappa$  countable and a continuous function  $\tilde{f} : (2^{\omega})^{\tilde{u}} \to \omega^{\omega}$  such that w.l.o.g.  $p \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} \dot{\tau} = \tilde{f}((\dot{s}_{\beta})_{\beta \in \tilde{u}})$ .

#### Sketch of Proof (Cont.)

Furthermore, we can assume w.l.o.g. that there exists  $(u_{\alpha})_{\alpha\in \text{supp}(p)} \subseteq [\kappa]^{\omega}$  and  $(f_{\alpha})_{\alpha\in \text{supp}(p)}$  with  $f_{\alpha}: (2^{\omega})^{u_{\alpha}} \to \mathcal{P}(2^{<\omega})$ continuous such that  $\forall \alpha \in \text{supp}(p): p \upharpoonright \alpha \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}_{\alpha}} \dot{p}(\alpha) = f_{\alpha}((\dot{s}_{\beta})_{\beta\in u_{\alpha}})$ . Set  $u^{*}:= \tilde{u} \cup \bigcup_{\alpha\in \text{supp}(p)} u_{\alpha}$  and let mos:  $u^{*} \to \alpha^{*}$  denote the Mostowski collapse of  $u^{*}$ . Let  $\pi: \alpha^{*} \to u^{*}$  denote the uncollapse and set  $\pi(\alpha^{*}):=\kappa$ . Now code the 'transitive collapse' of  $u^{*}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{f}, (u_{\alpha})_{\alpha\in \text{supp}(p)}$ and  $(f_{\alpha})_{\alpha\in \text{supp}(p)}$  as  $z \in \omega^{\omega}$ . Let  $\mathbb{Q} = \langle \mathbb{Q}_{\alpha}, \dot{Q}_{\beta}: \alpha \leq \alpha^{*}, \beta < \alpha^{*} \rangle$  be a countable (full) support iteration of Sacks forcing of length  $\alpha^{*}$  in L[y, z]. We will show that there exists a  $\mathbb{P}$ -name  $\dot{H}$  and a condition  $p^{*} \leq_{\mathbb{P}} p$  such that  $p^{*} \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} \dot{H}$  is  $(L[y, z], \mathbb{Q})$ -generic  $\land \dot{\tau} \in L[y, z][\dot{H}]$ .

### Sketch of Proof (Cont.)

To this end we define by induction on  $\alpha < \alpha^*$  an embedding  $i_{\alpha}: \mathbb{Q}_{\alpha} \to \mathbb{P}_{\pi(\alpha)}$ , i.e. for every  $q_1, q_2 \in \mathbb{Q}$  we have  $q_1 \leq_{\mathbb{Q}} q_2$  iff  $i_{\alpha}(q_1) \leq_{\mathbb{P}_{\pi(\alpha)}} i_{\alpha}(q_2)$ , with  $\operatorname{supp}(i_{\alpha}(q)) = \pi[\operatorname{supp}(q)]$  for every  $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ , and simultaneously we show using a preservation-of-properness argument that for every  $q \in \mathbb{Q}_{\alpha}$  there exists a  $p' \leq_{\mathbb{P}} i_{\alpha}(q)$  such that  $p' \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}_{\pi(\alpha)}} i_{\alpha}^{-1}[G_{\mathbb{P}_{\pi(\alpha)}}]$  is  $(L[y, z], \mathbb{Q}_{\alpha})$ -generic. Since the 'transitive collapse' of  $(u_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \text{supp}(p)}$  and  $(f_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \text{supp}(p)}$  belong to L[y, z], there exists a  $q \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $i_{\alpha^*}(q) = p$  (in the sense that  $i_{\alpha^*}(q) \leq_{\mathbb{P}} p$  and  $p \leq_{\mathbb{P}} i_{\alpha^*}(q)$ . Hence we can deduce that there exists a  $p^* \leq_{\mathbb{P}} p$  such that  $p^* \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} i_{\alpha^*}^{-1}[\dot{G}_{\mathbb{P}}]$  is  $(L[y, z], \mathbb{Q})$ -generic. Since the 'transitive collapse' of  $\tilde{u}$  and  $\tilde{f}$  belong to L[y, z], we have  $p^* \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} \dot{\tau} = \tilde{f}((\dot{s}_{\pi(\beta)})_{\beta \in \mathsf{mos}[\tilde{u}]} \in L[y, z][\dot{i}_{\alpha^*}^{-1}[\hat{G}_{\mathbb{P}}]].$  Hence, if we set  $\dot{H} := i_{\alpha^*}^{-1}[\dot{G}_{\mathbb{P}}]$  then  $p^* \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} \dot{H}$  is  $(L[y, z], \mathbb{Q})$ -generic  $\wedge \dot{\tau} \in L[y, z][\dot{H}]$ .

# Preserving Regularity Properties

# The Baire Property

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  denote the Borel ideal of all meager sets of  $2^{\omega}$ .

Definition

We say that BP( $\Delta_2^1$ ) holds iff every  $\Delta_2^1$  set  $X \subseteq \omega^{\omega}$  has the Baire Property, i.e. there exists  $O \subseteq \omega^{\omega}$  open such that  $X \triangle O$  is meager.

Similarly, we define when  $BP(\Sigma_2^1)$  holds.

And recall:

Theorem (Judah-Shelah (1989), Solovay (1969))

 $BP(\mathbf{\Delta}_2^1)$  holds iff for  $\forall x \in \omega^{\omega} : \bigcup (\mathcal{M} \cap L[x]) \neq 2^{\omega}$ , i.e. there exists a Cohen real over L[x].

 $BP(\mathbf{\Sigma}_2)$  holds iff for  $\forall x \in \omega^{\omega} : \bigcup (\mathcal{M} \cap L[x]) \in \mathcal{M}$ , i.e. there exists a comeager set of Cohen reals over L[x].

# Theorem (Sch.-Sch.-Sch.)

Assume that  $V \vDash BP(\mathbf{\Delta}_2^1)$  and let  $\mathbb{P}$  be a forcing notion. If Cohen forcing uniformly captures  $\mathbb{P}$ , then  $V^{\mathbb{P}} \vDash BP(\mathbf{\Delta}_2^1)$ .

#### Proof.

We will show that in  $V^{\mathbb{P}}$  there exists a Cohen real over L[x] for every real  $x \in \omega^{\omega}$ . Note that if c is a Cohen real over L[x, y], then it is also a Cohen real over L[x]. Working in V assume that  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  and  $\dot{\tau}$  is a  $\mathbb{P}$  name for a real. By uniform capturing, there exist  $z \in \omega^{\omega}$  and a  $\mathbb{P}$ -name  $\dot{c}$  such that for every  $y \in \omega^{\omega}$  there is a  $q \leq_{\mathbb{P}} p$  with

 $q \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} \dot{c}$  is a Cohen real over L[y, z] and  $\dot{\tau} \in L[z][\dot{c}]$ .

Let  $c_0 \in \omega^{\omega}$  be a Cohen real over L[z], which exists since BP( $\Delta_2^1$ ) holds. Set  $y := c_0$  and pick a corresponding condition  $q \leq_{\mathbb{P}} p$  with the required properties.

By mutual genericity we have  $q \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} c_0$  is a Cohen real over  $L[z][\dot{c}] \supseteq L[\dot{\tau}]$ .

# Theorem (Sch.-Sch.-Sch.)

Assume that  $V \vDash BP(\mathbf{\Sigma}_2^1)$  and let  $\mathbb{P}$  be a forcing notion. If Cohen forcing uniformly captures  $\mathbb{P}$ , then  $V^{\mathbb{P}} \vDash BP(\mathbf{\Sigma}_2^1)$ .

#### Proof.

We will show that in  $V^{\mathbb{P}}$  the set  $\bigcup(\mathcal{M} \cap L[x])$  is meager for every real  $x \in \omega^{\omega}$ . Working in V let  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  and  $\dot{\tau}$  be a  $\mathbb{P}$ -name for a real. Again, by uniform capturing, there exist  $z \in \omega^{\omega}$  and a  $\mathbb{P}$ -name  $\dot{c}$  with the required properties.

Let  $\mathcal{M}(2^{\omega} \times 2^{\omega})$  denote the Borel ideal of all meager sets of  $2^{\omega} \times 2^{\omega}$ . By assumption, there exists an  $B \in \mathcal{M}(2^{\omega} \times 2^{\omega}) \cap V$  such that  $\bigcup (\mathcal{M}(2^{\omega} \times 2^{\omega}) \cap L[z]) \subseteq B$ . Let *B* be coded by  $y \in \omega^{\omega}$ . Then there exists  $q \leq_{\mathbb{P}} p$  such that

 $q \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} \dot{c}$  is a Cohen real over L[y, z] and  $\dot{\tau} \in L[z][\dot{c}]$ .

# Proof (Cont.)

Let G be  $(V, \mathbb{P})$ -generic and working in V[G] set  $X := \{u \in 2^{\omega} : (\dot{c}^G, u) \in B\}$ . We claim that X is meager and contains every meager set coded in  $L[\dot{\tau}^G]$ . To see that X is meager, recall that by the Kuratowski-Ulam Theorem there exists a comeager set  $C \subseteq 2^{\omega}$  coded in L[y, z] such that for every  $x \in C$  the set  $\{u \in 2^{\omega} : (x, u) \in B\}$  is meager. Since  $\dot{c}^{G}$  is a Cohen real over L[y, z], we have  $\dot{c}^G \in C$ . Hence, X is indeed meager. Now assume that Y is a Borel meager set coded in  $L[z][\dot{c}^G] \supset L[\dot{\tau}^G]$ . Since  $\dot{c}^{G}$  is also a Cohen real over L[z], there exists a  $B' \in \mathcal{M}(2^{\omega} \times 2^{\omega}) \cap L[z]$  such that  $Y = \{u \in 2^{\omega} : (\dot{c}^G, u) \in B'\}$ . Since we have  $B' \subseteq B$  in V as well as in V[G] by absoluteness, it follows that  $Y \subset X$  holds in V[G].

# Theorem (Sch.-Sch.-Sch.)

Let MII denote Miller forcing and assume  $V = L(Add(\omega, \omega_1))$ . Then  $V^{MI} \models \neg BP(\mathbf{\Delta}_2^1)$ .

# Proof (of Thm.)

Working in V, we assume towards a contradiction that

$$p \Vdash_{\mathbb{MI}} \dot{c} \in \omega^{\omega}$$
 is a Cohen real over  $L[\dot{x}_{\mathsf{gen}}]$ 

for some  $p \in \mathbb{MI}$  and an  $\mathbb{MI}$ -name  $\dot{c}$ . Using continuous reading of names we may assume that  $f : [p] \to \omega^{\omega}$  is continuous and  $p \Vdash f(\dot{x}_{gen}) = \dot{c}$ .  $\Box$ 

#### Claim

There exists  $q \leq_{\mathbb{MI}} p$  such that f(x) is a Cohen real over L[x] for every  $x \in [q]$ .

# Proof (of Claim).

For every  $\alpha < \omega_1$  the set

$$B_{lpha} := \{ (x,z) \in (\omega^{\omega})^2 \colon z \in \bigcup (\mathcal{M} \cap L_{lpha}[x]) \}$$

is a  $\Delta_1^1(y)$  set, where  $y \in \omega^{\omega}$  is a real coding  $\alpha$ . In particular,  $B_{\alpha}$  is coded in L for every  $\alpha < \omega_1$ , since  $\omega_1^L = \omega_1$ . Now note that for every  $\alpha < \omega_1$  the set  $X_{\alpha} := \{x \in [p] : (x, f(x)) \in B_{\alpha}\}$  is bounded and coded in L[p, f]: If it were not bounded, then (by a result of Kechris) it would contain the branches of a superperfect tree  $r \leq_{\mathbb{MI}} p$ . But then  $r \Vdash_{\mathbb{MI}} f(\dot{x}_{gen})$  is not a Cohen real over  $L[\dot{x}_{gen}]$ , since ' $\forall x \in [r] : (x, f(x)) \in B_{\alpha}$ ' is  $\mathbf{\Pi}_1^1$  and therefore absolute.

# Proof (of Claim) (Cont.)

Let  $\eta: \omega^{\omega} \to [p]$  be the canonical homeomorphism, and note that  $\eta^{-1}[X_{\alpha}]$  is bounded as well. The statement ' $\eta^{-1}[X_{\alpha}]$  is bounded' is  $\Sigma_{2}^{1}(p, f)$  and therefore absolute between L[p, f] and V. Since there exists a Cohen real over L[p, f], there is an unbounded real d over L[p, f]. In particular, d is unbounded over  $\eta^{-1}[X_{\alpha}]$  for every  $\alpha < \omega_{1}$ . Now we pick  $q \leq_{\mathbb{MI}} p$  such that  $d \leq^{*} \eta^{-1}(x)$  for every  $x \in [q]$ . But then q is as desired.

# Proof (of Thm.) (Cont.)

Now consider the set  $A := \{f(x) + x : x \in [q]\}$ . We note that A is a set of Cohen reals over L, since for any  $x \in [q]$  we have that f(x) + x is a translate of the Cohen real f(x) over L[x], and thus again Cohen over L[x].

Moreover,  $A \subseteq \omega^{\omega}$  is analytic and unbounded, and therefore contains the branches of a superperfect tree T. Then [T] is a superperfect set of Cohen reals over L. However, by a result of Spinas, this is impossible in  $L(Add(\omega, \omega_1))$ .

# $\mathbf{\Delta}_3^1$ Relations

#### Lemma

Assume that  $V \vDash$  'Every real has a sharp' and let  $\mathbb{P}$  be a forcing notion. If  $\mathbb{P}$  is captured by forcing notions of size  $\langle \omega_1^V$ , then  $V \prec_{\Sigma_1^1} V^{\mathbb{P}}$ .

# Recall: Capturing

Let  $\mathbb P$  be a forcing notion. We say that  $\mathbb P$  is captured by forcing notions with property  $\varphi$  iff

 $\forall p \in \mathbb{P} \ \forall \ \mathbb{P}\text{-names} \ \dot{\tau} \text{ for a real } \forall y \in \omega^{\omega} \exists z \in \omega^{\omega} \ \exists \mathbb{Q} \in L[y, z] \ \exists q \leq_{\mathbb{P}} p:$ 

 $L[y,z] \vDash \varphi(\mathbb{Q}) \land q \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} \exists H \colon H \text{ is } (L[y,z],\mathbb{Q})\text{-generic} \land \dot{\tau} \in L[y,z][H]$ 

# Proof (of Lemma).

Let  $\varphi(x)$  be a  $\Sigma_3^1$ -formula and let  $\psi(x, y)$  be a  $\Pi_2^1$ -formula such that  $\varphi(x) = \exists y \ \psi(x, y)$ . Let  $a \in \omega^{\omega} \cap V$  and assume that  $V^{\mathbb{P}} \models \varphi(a)$ . Hence there exists  $b \in \omega^{\omega} \cap V^{\mathbb{P}}$  with  $V^{\mathbb{P}} \models \psi(a, b)$ . Since  $\mathbb{P}$  is captured by forcing notions of size  $\langle \omega_1^V$ , there exist  $z \in \omega^{\omega} \cap V$ ,  $\mathbb{Q} \in L[a, z]$  with  $|\mathbb{Q}| < \omega_1^V$  and  $H \in V^{\mathbb{P}}$  which is  $(L[a, z], \mathbb{Q})$ -generic such that  $b \in L[a, z][H]$ . By  $\Pi_2^1$ -absoluteness we have  $L[a, z][H] \models \varphi(a)$ . Hence there exists  $q \in H$  such that  $q \Vdash_{\mathbb{Q}}^{L[a, z]} \varphi(a)$ . Since  $|\mathbb{Q}| < \omega_1^V$  and  $\{a, z\}^{\sharp}$  exists, we can find an  $(L[a, z], \mathbb{Q})$ -generic filter H' containing q in V. Hence  $L[a, z][H'] \models \varphi(a)$  and by  $\Sigma_3^1$ -upward absoluteness we have  $V \models \varphi(a)$ .

#### Definition

We call  $E \subseteq \omega^{\omega} \times \omega^{\omega}$  a symmetric  $\Delta_3^1$  relation iff E has a  $\Delta_3^1$  definition and  $\forall x, y \in \omega^{\omega} : (x, y) \in E \Leftrightarrow (y, x) \in E$ . We call E thin iff there exists no perfect set of pairwise E-incompatible

reals.

#### Theorem (Sch.-Sch.-Sch.)

Let *E* be a symmetric, (sufficiently) absolute  $\Delta_3^1$  relation, let  $\mathbb{P}$  be a countable support iteration of Sacks forcing and assume that  $V \vDash$  'Every real has a sharp'. If  $V \vDash E$  is thin, then  $V^{\mathbb{P}} \vDash \forall x \in \omega^{\omega} \exists y \in \omega^{\omega} \cap V \colon (x, y) \in E$ .

# Thin, Symmetric $\Delta_3^1$ Relations

We will need several Lemmas:

# Lemma (1)

Let *E* be a thin, symmetric  $\Pi_3^1$  relation, let  $\dot{\tau}$  be a  $\mathbb{P}$ -name for a real and assume that  $V \vDash$  'Every real has a sharp'. Then the set  $D := \{ p \in \mathbb{P} : (p, p) \Vdash_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}} \dot{\tau}^{\dot{G}_1} E \dot{\tau}^{\dot{G}_2} \}$  is dense in  $\mathbb{P}$ .

### Lemma (2)

Let  $\theta$  be large enough and let  $N \prec H(\theta)$  be a countable, elementary submodel with  $\mathbb{P} \in N$ . Furthermore, let  $g \in V$  be an  $(N, \mathbb{P})$ -generic filter. Then for every  $p \in \mathbb{P} \cap N$  there exists  $q \leq_{\mathbb{P}} p$  such that  $q \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} g \times (\dot{G} \cap N)$  is  $(N, \mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P})$ -generic.

### and

#### Lemma (3)

Assume that  $\omega_1$  is inaccessible to the reals. Then  $\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}$  is captured.

## Proof (of the Thm.)

Assume towards a contradiction that there exists a condition  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  and a  $\mathbb{P}$ -name for a real  $\dot{\tau}$  such that for every  $x \in \omega^{\omega} \cap V$  we have  $p \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} \neg x E \dot{\tau}$ . By Lemma (1) we can assume w.l.o.g. that  $(p, p) \Vdash_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}} \dot{\tau}^{G_1} E \dot{\tau}^{G_2}$ . Let  $\theta$  be large enough and let  $N \prec H(\theta)$  be a countable, elementary submodel with  $p, \mathbb{P}, \dot{\tau} \in N$ . Let mos:  $N \to \overline{N}$  denote the Mostowski collapse. Working in V we can now pick an  $(N, \mathbb{P})$ -generic filter g with  $p \in g$ . By Lemma (2) we can find  $q \leq_{\mathbb{P}} p$  such that  $q \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} g \times (\dot{G} \cap N)$  is  $(N, \mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P})$ -generic. Since  $\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}$  is captured by Lemma (3), we can deduce that  $q \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} \overline{N}[\max[g \times (G \cap N)]]$  is closed under sharps. Hence we can deduce that  $q \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} \bar{N}[\max[g \times (\dot{G} \cap N)]] \prec_{\Sigma_{2}^{1}} V[\dot{G}]$ . Since by (1) we have  $q \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} \bar{N}[\max[g \times (\dot{G} \cap N)]] \vDash \dot{\tau}^{g} E \dot{\tau}^{\dot{G}}, \Sigma_{3}^{1}$ -upward absoluteness implies that  $a \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} \dot{\tau}^{g} E \dot{\tau}^{\dot{G}}$ . This, however, leads to a contradiction, since  $\dot{\tau}^g \in \omega^{\omega} \cap V$ .

#### Question

Let  $\mathbb{P} = \langle \mathbb{P}_{\alpha}, \dot{P}_{\beta} : \alpha \leq \kappa, \beta < \kappa \rangle$  be a countable support iteration such that for every  $\alpha < \kappa$  we have  $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}_{\alpha}} \dot{P}_{\alpha}$  is proper  $\wedge \dot{P}_{\alpha}$  is captured. Does then follow that  $\mathbb{P}$  is captured?

#### Question

Let  $\mathbb{P}$  be a countable support iteration of Miller forcing. Assuming  $\omega_1$  is inaccessible to the reals, is  $\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}$  captured?

#### Question

Let *E* be a thin, symmetric, (sufficiently) absolute  $\Delta_3^1$  relation, let  $\mathbb{P}$  be either Laver or Mathias forcing and assume that  $V \vDash$  'Every real has a sharp'. Can we again show that  $V^{\mathbb{P}} \vDash \forall x \in \omega^{\omega} \exists y \in \omega^{\omega} \cap V \colon (x, y) \in E$ ?

# Thank you for listening!!!