## Independent families and singular

cardinals

#### Diana Carolina Montoya

Research Seminar- Kurt Gödel Research Center University of Vienna Vienna. May 25th, 2021



Der Wissenschaftsfonds.

This is joint work with Omer Ben-Neria

### Contents

• Independent families at uncountable cardinals

Kunen's proof

• The singular case

Section 1

Independent families at uncountable cardinals

### **Basic definitions**

#### Definition

Assume that  $\kappa$  is a regular cardinal and  $\chi$  is an infinite cardinal. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a family of subsets of  $\chi$  such that  $|\mathcal{A}| \geq \kappa$ :

We denote by  $\mathsf{BF}_{\kappa}(\mathcal{A})$  the family of partial functions  $\{h : \mathcal{A} \to 2 : |\mathsf{dom}(h)| < \kappa\}$  and call it the family of bounded functions on  $\mathcal{A}$ .

$$lacksim {f G}$$
 Given  $h\in {
m BF}_\kappa({\mathcal A})$ , we define

$$\mathcal{A}^h = \bigcap \{A^{h(A)} : A \in \mathcal{A} \cap \operatorname{dom}(h)\},$$

where  $A^{h(A)} = A$  if h(A) = 0 and  $A^{h(A)} = \chi \setminus A$  otherwise. We call  $\mathcal{A}^h$  the Boolean combination of  $\mathcal{A}$  associated to h and we refer to  $\{\mathcal{A}^h : h \in \mathsf{BF}_\kappa(\mathcal{A})\}$  as the family of generalized boolean combinations of the family  $\mathcal{A}$ .

### Independent families

#### Definition

Let  $\kappa$  be a regular cardinal. A family  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\chi)$  such that  $|\mathcal{A}| \geq \kappa$  is called  $\kappa$ -independent if for for every  $h \in \mathsf{BF}_{\kappa}(\mathcal{A})$ , the set  $\mathcal{A}^h$  has size  $\chi$ .

A  $\kappa$ -independent family  $\mathcal{A}$  is said to be maximal  $\kappa$ -independent if it is not properly contained in another  $\kappa$ -independent family. We call the cardinal  $\kappa$  the degree of independence of the family  $\mathcal{A}$ .

### The issue with existence

- Analogously to the classical case (χ = κ = ω) it is possible to construct κ-independent families of size 2<sup>κ</sup> (under some assumptions on κ).
- However, it is not possible to use Zorn's lemma to prove the existence of maximal κ-independent families, if κ is uncountable.

The following result of Kunen provides necessary conditions for the existence of maximal  $\kappa$ -independent families in the general context when  $\kappa$  is a regular uncountable cardinal.

### Kunen's Theorem

#### Theorem (See Theorem 1 in [Kun83])

Suppose that  $\kappa$  is regular and uncountable and  $\chi$  is any infinite cardinal. Also assume that there is a maximal  $\kappa$ -independent family  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\chi)$ , with  $|\mathcal{A}| \geq \kappa$ . Then:

- 1.  $2^{<\kappa} = \kappa$  and,
- 2. there is a  $\Gamma$  with sup $\{(2^{\alpha})^+ : \alpha < \kappa\} \le \Gamma \le \min\{\chi, 2^{\kappa}\}$  such that, there is a non-trivial  $\kappa^+$ -saturated  $\Gamma$ -complete ideal over  $\Gamma$ .



### Saturated Ideals

#### Definition

Let  $\kappa$  be a cardinal. An ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  of subsets of  $\kappa$  is said to be  $\gamma$ -saturated if for any  $\{X_{\alpha} : \alpha < \gamma\} \subseteq \mathcal{I}^+$ , there are  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 < \gamma$  such that  $X_{\alpha_1} \cap X_{\alpha_2} \in \mathcal{I}^+$ . Here  $\mathcal{I}^+ = \mathcal{P}(\kappa) \backslash \mathcal{I}$ .

For a given ideal  $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\kappa)$  being  $\gamma$ -saturated is equivalent to the Boolean algebra  $\mathcal{P}(\kappa)/\mathcal{I}$  having the  $\gamma$ -cc. Let  $\operatorname{Sat}(\theta, \gamma, \mathcal{I})$  abbreviate the statement " $\mathcal{I}$  is a  $\theta$ -complete,  $\gamma$ -saturated ideal" and  $\operatorname{Sat}(\theta, \gamma)$  the statement: "There is an ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  that is  $\theta$ -complete and  $\gamma$ -saturated ideal".

Notice that the property  $\operatorname{Sat}(\theta, \gamma, \mathcal{I})$  gets weaker when  $\gamma$  increases, i.e. if  $\gamma < \gamma'$  then  $\operatorname{Sat}(\theta, \gamma, \mathcal{I}) \to \operatorname{Sat}(\theta, \gamma', \mathcal{I})$ . Also  $\operatorname{Sat}(\theta, \omega)$  is equivalent to  $\kappa$  being measurable.

We will use the following result:

#### Theorem (Prikry, Solovay and Kakuda. See Theorem 17.1 in [Kan03])

Suppose that  $\mathcal{I}$  is a  $\delta$ -saturated ideal over  $\kappa$ , where  $\delta \leq \kappa^+$  is regular and  $\mathbb{P}$  is a partial order with the  $\nu$ -cc where  $\nu < \kappa$  and  $\nu \leq \delta$ . Then:

 $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} \check{\mathcal{I}}$  generated a  $\delta-\text{saturated ideal over }\kappa$ 

### Coming back to Kunen's result

#### Kunen's Theorem

- Since  $\Gamma \ge \kappa$ , then the ideal given by the theorem must be  $\Gamma^+$ -saturated, which yields to an inner model with a measurable cardinal.
- If  $\kappa$  is not strongly inaccessible then  $\Gamma \ge \kappa^+$ , which implies by Ulam that  $\kappa$  is weakly inaccessible and Solovay that is is also weakly Mahlo.
- If  $\kappa$  is strongly inaccessible, it is consistent that  $\kappa = \Gamma = \chi$ .

# A comment on countable independence degree and the regular case

If we assume  $\kappa = \omega$  the existence of maximal  $\kappa$ -independent families at a cardinal  $\chi$  is a straightforward consequence of Zorn's lemma. The following is a result of Fischer and myself regarding these families.

#### Theorem (See [FM20])

Let  $\chi$  be a measurable cardinal and let  $2^{\chi} = \chi^+$ . Then there is a maximal  $\omega$ -independent family of subsets of  $\chi$ , which remains maximal after the  $\chi$ -support product of  $\delta$ -many copies of  $\chi$ -Sacks forcing.

Also, Eskew and Fischer have studied the concept of independence for regular cardinals. In [EF21] they prove in particular that if  $i(\kappa)$  is the minimum size of a maximal  $\kappa$ -independent family of subsets of  $\kappa$ . Then, it is consistent that  $\kappa^+ < i(\kappa) < 2^{\kappa}$ .

They also studied the spectrum of maximal  $\kappa$ -independent families at  $\chi$  and gave a wide set of results involving it.

### Section 2

### Kunen's proof

We review a few details of the proof of  $\checkmark$  Kunen's Theorem which will be relevant for the results to come. Suppose that  $\kappa$  is a regular cardinal and let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $\kappa$ -maximal independent family of subsets of  $\chi$ .

Define the map

$$\begin{split} \varphi\colon \operatorname{Fn}_{<\kappa}(\mathcal{A},2) \to \mathcal{P}(\chi) \\ p \mapsto \mathcal{A}^p. \end{split}$$

where  ${\rm Fn}_\kappa(\mathcal{A},2)$  is the classical poset of partial functions  $p:\mathcal{A}\to 2$  with  $|{\rm dom}(p)|<\kappa.$ 

### The map arphi

- $\blacktriangleright \ \varphi \text{ is an isomorphism from } \mathsf{Fn}_{\kappa}(\mathcal{A},2) \text{ into } [\chi]^{\chi}.$
- $\blacktriangleright \ p \leq q \text{ implies } \varphi(p) \subseteq \varphi(q).$
- The family  $\mathcal{A}$  is maximal if and only if for all  $X \subseteq \chi$  there is a  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  such that  $\varphi(p) \subseteq^* X$  or  $\varphi(p) \subseteq^* \chi \setminus X$ .
- We can even assume that  $\mathcal{A}$  is maximal in a stronger sense that we call *densely maximal*, meaning that for all  $X \subseteq \chi$  and all  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ , there is a  $q \leq p$  such that  $\varphi(q) \subseteq^* X$  or  $\varphi(q) \subseteq^* \chi \setminus X$ .

### One associated ideal

Define the following ideal

$$\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{A}} := \{ X \subseteq \chi : \forall p \in \mathbb{P} \left( \varphi(p) \not\subseteq^* X \right) \}.$$

To finish the proof of the Theorem, Kunen proved that the ideal  $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{A}}$  is  $(2^{\alpha})^+$ -complete for all  $\alpha < \kappa$ , that it is  $(2^{<\kappa})^+$ -saturated and that  $2^{<\kappa} = \kappa$  and so  $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{A}}$  is in fact,  $\kappa^+$ -saturated. Hence if  $\Gamma$  is the minimum cardinal such that  $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{A}}$  is not  $\Gamma$ -complete, one gets the desired result.

### Sufficient conditions

#### Lemma

Suppose  $\kappa$  is regular,  $2^{<\kappa} = \kappa$ ,  $\kappa \leq \chi$  and  $\mathcal{I}$  is a  $\kappa^+$ -saturated  $\chi$ -complete ideal over  $\chi$  such that  $\mathcal{B}(\operatorname{Fn}_{\kappa}(2^{\chi}, 2))$  isomorphic to  $\mathcal{P}(\chi)/\mathcal{I}$ . Then, there is a maximal  $\kappa$ -independent family of subsets of  $\chi$ .

Back3

### A consistency result

#### Theorem (Kunen)

If there is a measurable cardinal, then there is a maximal  $\sigma$ -independent family  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(2^{\omega_1})$ .

### The proof

Start with a measurable cardinal κ in a ground model V where CH holds.
 Let U be a normal measure witnessing the measurability of κ.
 We shall construct a model in which CH still holds and if κ = 2<sup>ℵ1</sup>, there is an ω<sub>2</sub>-saturated, κ-complete ideal J over κ such that the Boolean algebras P(κ)/J and B(Fn<sub>ω1</sub>(2<sup>κ</sup>, 2)) are isomorphic.

Sufficient conditions

Let  $\mathbb{P}$  be  $\operatorname{Fn}_{\omega_1}(\kappa, 2)$  and let G to be a  $\mathbb{P}$ -generic filter over V. In V[G],  $\kappa = 2^{\aleph_1}$  and we can define the following collection of subsets of  $\kappa$ :

$$\mathcal{J} = \{ X \subseteq \kappa : \exists Y \in \mathcal{U}(X \cap Y = \emptyset) \}$$

▶  $\mathcal{J}$  is, in turn a  $\kappa$ -complete  $\omega_2$ -saturated ideal because  $\mathbb{P}$  has the  $\omega_2$ -cc and so  $\mathcal{J}$  is  $\omega_2$ -saturated and  $\kappa$ -complete in V[G].

The rest of the argument aims to construct an isomorphism between the Boolean algebras  $\mathcal{P}(\kappa)/\mathcal{I}$  and  $\mathcal{B}(\mathrm{Fn}_{\omega_1}(2^\kappa,2))$  in V[G].

- Let  $j: V \to M = \text{Ult}(V, \mathcal{U})$  be the ultrapower embedding associated to  $\mathcal{U}$ , i.e. j is elementary,  $\operatorname{crit}(j) = \kappa$ .
- $\label{eq:left} \begin{tabular}{l} $\blacktriangleright$ Let $\kappa^* = j(\kappa) > \kappa$, then $2^\kappa < \kappa^* < (2^\kappa)^+$ and the posets $\operatorname{Fn}_{\omega_1}(2^\kappa,2)$ and $\operatorname{Fn}_{\omega_1}(\kappa^* \backslash \kappa,2)$ are isomorphic. } \end{tabular}$

### The isomorphism

Let's define the isomorphism  $\Gamma: \mathcal{P}(\kappa)/\mathcal{I} \to \mathcal{B}(\operatorname{Fn}_{\omega_1}(\kappa^* \setminus \kappa, 2))$  in V[G] as follows: Given  $[X] \in (\mathcal{P}(\kappa)/\mathcal{I})^{V[G]}$ , and let  $\dot{X}$  be a  $\mathbb{P}$ -name for the set X. We define the function as follows:

 $\Gamma([X]):=\bigvee\{q\in \mathrm{Fn}_{\omega_1}(\kappa^*\backslash\kappa,2): \exists p\in G(p\cup q\Vdash \check{\kappa}\in j(\dot{X}))\}.$ 

▶ Recall that j(P) = j(Fn<sub>ω1</sub>(κ, 2)) = Fn<sub>ω1</sub>(κ<sup>\*</sup>, 2) ≃ P × Q, where Q = Fn<sub>ω1</sub>(κ<sup>\*</sup>\κ, 2). Also, every element of the poset Q is represented in Ult(V, U) by a sequence (q<sub>α</sub> : α < κ) such that q<sub>α</sub> ∈ Q for all α < κ.</li>
▶ Thus, if H is Q-generic over V[G], then G × H is j(P)-generic over V and we can define a map j to j<sup>\*</sup> : V[G] → M[G × H] as j<sup>\*</sup>(X) = (j(X))<sup>G×H</sup> in V[G × H]. So, we can ask for a given set Y ∈ V[G] whether or not κ̃ ∈ (j(Y))<sup>G×H</sup>.

### Two more consistency results

#### Corollary

Assume  $\kappa$  is strongly compact in V. Then in V[G], where G is  $\mathbb{P}$ -generic (for  $\mathbb{P} = \operatorname{Fn}_{\omega_1}(\kappa, 2)$  like in the theorem above) for every cardinal  $\chi \geq \kappa$  such that  $\operatorname{cf}(\chi) \geq \kappa$  there is a maximal  $\sigma$ -independent family of subsets of  $\chi$ .

#### Theorem

Let  $\delta$  be a regular cardinal such that  $2^{<\delta} = \delta$  and  $\kappa$  be a measurable cardinal above it. Then there is a maximal  $\delta$ -independent family  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(2^{\delta})$ .

### Section 3

#### The singular case

#### Framework

Now, we want to study the concept of independence in the case when  $\lambda$  is a singular cardinal of cofinality  $\kappa < \lambda$ .

Look at the definition of  $\ref{eq:look}$  and notice, there is no a priori restriction about lifting it to the context of a singular. Note that if  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $\lambda$ -independent, then it is  $\lambda'$ -independent for all  $\lambda' < \lambda$ ; in particular cf( $\lambda) = \kappa$ -independent. The other direction does not hold:

Hausdorff's example at  $\aleph_{\omega}$ 

$$\mathcal{C} = \{(a,A): a \in [\lambda]^{<\omega}, A \subseteq \mathcal{P}(a)\}$$

and note  $|\mathcal{C}| = \aleph_{\omega}^{<\omega} = \aleph_{\omega}$ .

For  $X \subseteq \lambda$  define

$$\mathcal{Y}_X = \{(a,A) \in \mathcal{C}: X \cap a \in A\}.$$

Then,  $\mathcal{A} = \{\mathcal{Y}_X : X \subseteq \lambda\} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathcal{P}(\aleph_\omega)$  is  $\omega$ -independent (or  $\sigma$ -independent).

Given  $X_0, X_1, \dots X_i$  and  $Z_0, Z_1, \dots Z_j$  for  $i, j < \omega$ , if  $a \in [\lambda]^{<\omega}$  is such that  $X_l \cap a \neq X_{l'} \cap a \neq Z_n \cap a \neq Z_{n'} \cap a$  for all  $l, l' \leq i$  and  $n, n' \leq j$ . Then  $a \in \bigcap_{l < i} \mathcal{Y}_{X_l} \cap \bigcap_{l < i} \lambda \setminus \mathcal{Y}_{Z_i}$ .

Notice that  $\mathcal{A}$  is not  $\omega_1$ -independent: If  $X_0 \subseteq X_1 \subseteq \ldots X_n \subseteq \ldots$  is cofinal in  $\lambda$ . Take  $(a, A) \in \bigcap_i \text{ even } \mathcal{Y}_{X_i} \cap \bigcap_i \text{ odd } \lambda \setminus \mathcal{Y}_{X_i}$ . Since the sequence of the  $X_n$ 's is cofinal there is a  $n_a \in \omega$  (we can take it minimal) such that  $a \subseteq X_{n_a}$ , but then for all  $i \geq n_a$ ,  $a \cap X_i = a$  which is a contradiction.

### More simple properties

The former is a general behavior:

#### Proposition

Let  $\lambda$  be a singular cardinal of cofinality  $\kappa < \lambda$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $\kappa$ -independent family of subsets of  $\lambda$ , then  $\mathcal{A}$  is <u>not</u>  $\kappa^+$ -independent.

#### Proposition

Suppose  $\lambda$  is a strong limit singular cardinal with  $cf(\lambda) = \kappa$ . Then there is a  $\kappa$ -independent family of subsets of  $\lambda$  of size  $2^{\lambda}$ .

### Maximality

Now we turn into maximality and the issue of existence of maximal independent families at singular cardinals. From now on, we assume that  $\lambda$  is a singular cardinal of cofinality  $\kappa < \lambda$ .

First we establish that a  $\kappa$ -independent family  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq [\lambda]^{\lambda}$  is **maximal** if for all  $X \in [\lambda]^{\lambda}$  there is a bounded function  $\mathsf{BF}_{\kappa}(\mathcal{A})$  such that either  $\mathcal{A}^h \setminus X$  or  $\mathcal{A}^h \cap X$  is bounded in  $\lambda$  (i.e. of size  $< \lambda$ ).

#### Cases

Let's consider the case where λ is singular of countable cofinality. In this case existence of a maximal ω-independent family (or just *independent*) of subsets of λ can be proven using Zorn's lemma.

In the case of  $\lambda$  singular of cofinality  $\kappa > \omega$  we have the following: if there exists  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq [\lambda]^{\lambda}$  a maximal  $\kappa$ -independent family, then Kunen's Theorem implies that  $2^{<\kappa} = \kappa$  and that there is an ordinal  $\Gamma$  with  $\sup\{(2^{\alpha})^{+} : \alpha < \lambda\} \leq \Gamma \leq \min\{\lambda, 2^{\kappa}\}$  such that, there is a non-trivial  $\kappa^{+}$ -saturated  $\Gamma$ -complete ideal over  $\Gamma$ .

#### Our results

The next result guarantees the existence of a maximal  $\kappa$ -independent family at a singular cardinal  $\lambda$  of cofinality  $\kappa$ , when we assume the existence of maximal  $\kappa$ -independent families at cardinals ( $\lambda_{\alpha} : \alpha < \kappa$ ) converging to  $\lambda$ .

#### Lemma

Assume that  $\lambda$  is a singular cardinal of cofinality  $\kappa$  which is a limit of the sequence of cardinals  $(\lambda_{\alpha} : \alpha < \kappa)$  of regular cardinals such that, for each  $\alpha < \kappa$ , there is a maximal  $\delta$ -independent family  $\mathcal{A}_{\alpha} \subseteq [\lambda_{\alpha}]^{\lambda_{\alpha}}$  and  $\delta \leq \kappa < \lambda_{0}$  is regular such that there is a maximal  $\delta$ -independent family of subsets of  $\kappa$ . Then, there is a maximal  $\delta$ -independent family  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq [\lambda]^{\lambda}$ .

#### Lemma (An improvement of the lemma above)

Assume that  $\lambda$  is a singular cardinal of cofinality  $\kappa$  which is a limit of the sequence of cardinals  $(\lambda_{\alpha} : \alpha < \kappa)$ . Let also  $(\delta_{\alpha} : \alpha < \kappa)$  be a sequence of regular cardinals with limit  $\kappa$ . Suppose also that for each  $\alpha < \kappa$ , there is a maximal  $\delta_{\alpha}$ -independent family  $\mathcal{A}_{\alpha} \subseteq [\lambda_{\alpha}]^{\lambda_{\alpha}}$  and  $\kappa < \delta_{0}$  is regular such that there is a maximal  $\kappa$ -independent family  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq [\lambda]^{\lambda}$ .

#### Theorem

Start with a ground model V in which GCH holds. Suppose that  $\lambda$  is a singular cardinal of cofinality  $\kappa$  which is a limit a sequence of cardinals  $(\lambda_{\alpha} : \alpha < \kappa)$ . Let also  $(\delta_{\alpha} : \alpha < \kappa)$  be a sequence of regular cardinals converging to  $\kappa$  so that  $\alpha \leq \delta_{\alpha}^{<\delta_{\alpha}} = \delta_{\alpha}$  and  $\kappa_{\alpha}$  is  $\delta_{\alpha}$ -supercompact for all  $\alpha < \kappa$ . Then there is a generic extension of a universe  $V \models$  GCH such that:

 $V^{\mathbb{P}} \models$  There is a maximal  $\kappa$ -independent family of subsets of  $\lambda$ 

### A refinement

#### Theorem

Assume that  $\lambda$  is a singular cardinal of cofinality  $\kappa$  which is a strong limit of the sequence of cardinals  $(\lambda_{\alpha} : \alpha < \kappa)$ . Let also  $(\delta_{\alpha} : \alpha < \kappa)$  be a sequence of regular cardinals with limit  $\kappa$ . Suppose also that for each  $\alpha < \kappa$ , there is a maximal  $\delta_{\alpha}$ -independent family  $\mathcal{A}_{\alpha} \subseteq [\lambda_{\alpha}]^{\lambda_{\alpha}}$  of size  $\rho_{\alpha}$  and  $\kappa < \delta_{0}$  is regular such that there is a maximal  $\kappa$ -independent family of subsets of  $\kappa$ . Put also  $\chi_{\overline{\lambda}} = \operatorname{tcf}(\Pi_{i < \kappa} \lambda_{i}, <^{*})$  and  $\chi_{\overline{\rho}} = \operatorname{tcf}(\Pi_{i < \kappa} \rho_{i}, <^{*})$ .

Then, there is a maximal  $\kappa$ -independent family  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq [\lambda]^{\lambda}$  of cardinality  $\chi_{\bar{\lambda}} \cdot \chi_{\bar{\rho}}$ .

## Sizes of independent families (work in progress)

Let  $\lambda$  be a singular cardinal of cofinality  $\kappa < \lambda$ , let's define:

 $\mathfrak{i}(\lambda) = \{ |\mathcal{A}| \colon \mathcal{A} \subseteq [\lambda]^{\lambda} \text{ such that } \mathcal{A} \text{ is maximal } \kappa \text{-independent} \}$ 





The main open question.

### References I

- Monroe Eskew and Vera Fischer, Strong independence and its spectrum., Submitted, 2021.
- Vera Fischer and Diana Carolina Montoya, Higher independence, Submitted, 2020.
- Akihiro Kanamori, The higher infinite, second ed., Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003, Large cardinals in set theory from their beginnings. MR 1994835
- Kenneth Kunen, *Maximal*  $\sigma$ -independent families, Fund. Math. **117** (1983), no. 1, 75–80. MR 712215